1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 5 6 **ORDER** Sheryl A. Franklin v. Astrazeneca Pharms., L.P., et al.; No. C 06-1335 7 **SBA** 8 Quincy L. Alderson v. Astrazeneca 9 Pharms., L.P., et al.; No. C 06-0621 SBA 10 11 Jeffrey C. Boal v. Astrazeneca Pharms., L.P., et al.; No. C 06-0548 SBA 12 13 Dorothy L. Cameron v. Astrazeneca Pharms., L.P., et al.; No. C 06-1341 14 SBA 15 Betsy B. Dean v. Astrazeneca Pharms., 16 L.P., et al.; No. C 06-1850 SBA 17 Mary R. Geones, et al. v. Astrazeneca 18 Pharms., L.P., et al.; No. C 06-0580 **SBA** 19 Joseph Hernandez v. Astrazeneca 20 Pharms., L.P., et al.; No. C 06-1318 SBA 21 Joy D. Orie v. Astrazeneca Pharms., 22 L.P., et al.; No. C 06-0542 SBA 23 Clinton W. Sprung v. Astrazeneca Pharms., L.P., et al.; No. C 06-0626 24 SBA 25 Winifred P. Thomas v. Astrazeneca 26 Pharms., L.P., et al.; No. C 06-0659 SBA 27 Raymond E. Weldon v. Astrazeneca 28 Pharms., L.P., et al.; No. C 06-0615

SBA)
Bridgette R. Jones v. Astrazeneca Pharms., L.P., et al.; No. C 06-1485 SBA)
Cheryl Levy v. Astrazeneca Pharms., L.P., et al.; No. C 06-0613 SBA)

Having reviewed and considered the papers and arguments submitted in the above-captioned matters, the Court HEREBY ORDERS the following:

Plaintiffs' Request for Judicial Notice filed in both Case No. C 06-1341 SBA [Docket No. 17] and Case No. C 06-1485 SBA [Docket No. 14] and plaintiff's requests for judicial notice in Plaintiffs' Motion for Administrative Relief; Request for Order Approving Dismissal Without Prejudice filed in Case No. C 06-1485 SBA [Docket No. 21] are DENIED AS MOOT because the Court has not relied on the noticed materials in reaching its conclusions.

Plaintiffs' Motion for Administrative Relief; Request for Order Approving Dismissal Without Prejudice filed in the following cases are DENIED AS MOOT: C 06-0548 SBA [Docket No. 9], C 06-0542 SBA [Docket No. 14], C 06-0580 SBA [Docket No. 13], C 06-0615 SBA [Docket No. 9], C 06-0626 SBA [Docket No. 9], C 06-0621 SBA [Docket No. 7], C 06-0659 SBA [Docket No. 9], and C 06-0613 SBA [Docket No. 14]. The Court is without jurisdiction to dismiss these cases in their entirety, as the claims against AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals L.P. and AstraZeneca L.P. have been transferred to the Middle District of Florida, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *In re Multidistrict Private Civ. Treble Damage Litig. Involving Plumbing Fixtures*, 298 F. Supp. 484, 496 (J.P.M.L. 1968) ("[A]fter an order changing venue the jurisdiction of the transferor court ceases; and . . . thereafter the transferor court can issue no further orders, and any steps taken by it are of no effect.")

The Stipulation and Request for Voluntary Dismissal of Defendants Janssen, L.P.; Janssen Pharmaceutica, Inc.; and Johnson & Johnson filed in Case No. C 06-0659 SBA is GRANTED.

Plaintiffs' Request for Judicial Notice filed in Case No. C 06-0613 SBA [Docket No. 14] is DENIED AS MOOT because the Court has not relied on the noticed materials in reaching its

conclusions.

Plaintiffs' Motion for Administrative Relief; Request for Order Approving Dismissal Without
Prejudice filed in the following cases are GRANTED and the following cases are DISMISSED
WITHOUT PREJUDICE AND WITHOUT CONDITIONS: C 06-1335 SBA [Docket No. 15], C 06-
1341 SBA [Docket No. 14], C 06-1850 SBA [Docket No. 13], and C 06-1318 SBA [Docket No. 12].
Plaintiffs' Motion for Administrative Relief; Request for Order Approving Dismissal Without
Prejudice filed in C 06-1485 SBA [Docket No. 21] is GRANTED and defendants AstraZeneca
Pharmaceuticals, L.P., and AstraZeneca, L.P., are DISMISSED from the case WITHOUT
PREJUDICE AND WITHOUT ANY CONDITIONS. "A district court should grant a motion for
voluntary dismissal under [Federal Rule of Civil Procedure] 41 unless a defendant can show that it
will suffer some plain legal prejudice as a result." Smith v. Lenches, 263 F.3d 972, 975 (9th Cir.
2001). In the dismissed cases listed above, Defendants have failed to show that they will suffer
plain legal prejudice from dismissal. Further, the Court grants dismissal without conditions because
the conditions proposed by Defendants are unnecessary and unduly restrictive.

Plaintiff's original Motion for Administrative Relief; Request for Order Approving Dismissal Without Prejudice filed in C 06-1485 SBA [Docket No. 8] is DENIED AS MOOT, as it is superceded by the Motion filed in Docket No. 21 of that case.

Because the Court has granted Plaintiffs' motions for dismissal in the following cases, AstraZeneca's Motions to Stay filed in those cases are DENIED: C 06-1335 SBA [Docket No. 19], C 06-1341 SBA [Docket No. 20], C 06-1850 SBA [Docket No. 19], and C 06-1318 SBA [Docket No. 16], C 06-1485 SBA [Docket No. 24].

Going forward, the parties should take care to adhere to the Local Rules of the Court. IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 8/22/06

SAÚNDRA BROWN ARMSTF United States District Judge